UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

WILLIAM RAY, an individual,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CASE NO. 2:17-cv-8
	§	
	§	
ASARCO, LLC, a limited liability Co.	§	
	§	
Defendant	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

COMES NOW, WILLIAM RAY("Ray"), an individual, Plaintiff, by and through his attorney submits this First Amended Complaint against the Defendant on the following allegations:

I.

INTRODUCTION

1.01 This action is brought pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. §2000e, *et seq.*). The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission [EEOC] issued a right to sue dated January 12th, 2017, on behalf of Ray, Exhibit "A" hereto. The Defendant persistently discriminated against the named Plaintiff.

II.

JURISDICTION

2.01 Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked to secure protection of and redress deprivation of rights guaranteed by federal law, which rights provide for injunctive and other

relief for illegal discrimination in employment and the doctrine of pendant jurisdiction. This Court also has jurisdiction by virtue of diversity of citizenship.

III.

DEFENDANT

3.01 Defendant Asarco LLC (hereinafter "Asarco"), was the employer of Plaintiff in Amarillo, Potter County, Texas at the time the alleged acts of discrimination occurred. Its office is located at Fritch Highway, Amarillo, Texas, and its registered agent, CT Corporation System may be served with process at 1999 Bryan St., Suite 900, Dallas, TX 75001. Ray worked at the copper refinery located at the Fritch Highway, Amarillo, Texas, since August 8, 1994. The defendant has been served and Plaintiffs are advised it will answer shortly.

IV.

PLAINTIFF

- 4.01 Plaintiff was and is an Asarco employee who is being discriminated against, due to the hostile work environment on the basis of racial discrimination and retaliated against.
- 4.02 William Ray is an adult male who began his employment with Asarco on or around the 8th day of August 1994, and is still employed there for a period of twenty-two (22) years and five (5) months. Plaintiff's position with Asarco is that of a load operator. Ray filed a charge of harassment and discrimination with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) on October 20th, 2015, and received his "Notice of Suit Rights" on or about January 12th, 2017, Exhibit "A".

V.

ALLEGATIONS

- 5.01 The named Plaintiff brings this action for discrimination pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. §2000e, *et seq.*).
- 5.02 Plaintiff timely filed his EEOC charge against the Defendant for discrimination. The EEOC issued a notice of right to sue after investigating the underlying facts on or about January 12th, 2017, see Exhibit "A" hereto for all purposes.
- 5.03 This Defendant engaged in policies and practices which willfully, intentionally and unlawfully discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of his race and that he was retaliated against for complaining about said treatment. These practices and policies include, but are not limited to (1) allowing known race discrimination to take place, (2) not taking action against that known race discrimination, (3) preventing Ray from getting a rightful raise, (4) treating Caucasian employees more favorably than Hispanics and African-Americans, including in disparate treatment and overtime pay.
- 5.04 Plaintiff's mistreatment is a result of a policy and practice of the Defendant to allow harassment or discriminatory treatment to continue and only increasing the pay of Caucasian employees or allowing Caucasian employees preferential treatment over Hispanics and African-Americans, specifically including but not limited to the discriminatory treatment of Ray.
- 5.05 Plaintiff has been adversely affected by the willful discriminatory policies complained of herein. Specifically, the Plaintiff's claims arise out of the Defendant's discriminatory harassment and discriminatory treatment of Hispanics and African-Americans.

VI.

COUNT I

Racial Harassment and Discrimination

- 6.01 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in the above paragraphs.
- 6.02 Asarco through its agents, managers or supervisors engaged in a pattern and practice of unlawful race discrimination by subjecting Plaintiff to disparate treatment and racist remarks. Asarco further failed and refused to correct said discrimination when called to their attention or to discipline plaintiff's manager, on Weldon Read, who discriminated against him.
- 6.03 The above-described unwelcome discrimination created an intimidating, oppressive, hostile and offensive work environment which interfered and continues to interfere with Plaintiff's emotional well-being. Asarco has permitted the complained of race related comments and has not disciplined the offending employees.
- 6.04 Asarco and its officers and agents at all times relevant hereto had actual and constructive knowledge of the conduct described above.
- 6.05 As a result of the hostile and offensive work environment perpetrated by Defendant and maintained by Asarco's failure to protect Plaintiff and others from further discrimination, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer severe emotional distress.
- 6.06 Asarco and its officers and representatives violated 42 U.S.C. §2000, et seq., by failing to adequately supervise, control, discipline, and/or otherwise penalize the conduct, acts, and failures to act of William Ray's manager, one Weldon Read and others as described above.

- 6.07 Asarco and its officers and representatives failed to comply with their statutory duty to take all reasonable and necessary steps to eliminate harassment and discrimination from the workplace and to prevent it from occurring in the future. Asarco treated Caucasian employees more favorably than Hispanics and African-Americans.
- 6.08 Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that in addition the practices enumerated above, Defendant has engaged in other discriminatory practices against him which are not yet fully known. At such time as said discriminatory practices became known to him, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard.
- 6.09 Plaintiff has filed charges of discrimination with the Texas Department of Human Resources/U.S. Equal Employment Opportunities Commission (EEOC) against Defendant. A true and correct copy of the charge is attached hereto as Exhibit "B," and incorporated herein by reference. The EEOC has issued a Right-to-Sue Notice authorizing this lawsuit. A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit "A," and incorporated herein by reference. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies.
- 6.10 As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's willful, knowing and intentional discrimination against him, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer pain and suffering, and extreme and severe mental anguish and emotional distress. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in amounts to be proven at trial.
- 6.11 As a further direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation as heretofore described, Plaintiff has been compelled to retain the services of counsel in an effort to enforce the terms and conditions of the employment relationship with Defendant, and has thereby incurred, and will continue to incur, legal fees and costs, the full nature and extent of which are presently

unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard when the same shall be fully and finally ascertained.

6.12 Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that the outrageous conduct of Defendant described above was done with fraud, oppression and malice, with a conscious disregard for his rights; and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring him. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that Asarco through its officers, managing agents, managers and/or its supervisors, authorized, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of their officers and representatives and the branch manager, including but not limited to Mr. Ray's manager, one Weldon Read, and others. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from the Defendant in a sum according to proof at trial.

VII.

COUNT II

Retaliation

Plaintiff adopts paragraph 1 through 6.12 herein as though set out in full and alleges:

- 7.01 Plaintiff pleads further in the alternative if same be necessary, each of the allegations of this Complaint as though set out in full and for Count II alleges:
- 7.02 Asarco instituted a campaign of retaliation against Plaintiff which included, but was not limited to, the refusal to promote Ray, give him raises, commensurate with raises given caucasian employees for similar work, by placing Ray in unfavorable positions at work as a means of punishment, and making racial slurs toward Ray and other employees. Plaintiff was injured as a result of Asarco's conduct. Plaintiff suffered damages for which Plaintiff herein sues.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered in his favor and against Defendant as follows:

As to the First Cause of Action:

- 1. That Plaintiff be awarded general and compensatory damages, including prejudgment interest, in an amount according to proof at trial;
- 2. That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount according to proof at trial;
 - 3. That Plaintiff be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit; and
- 4. That Plaintiff be awarded such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

As to the Second Cause of Action:

- 1. That Plaintiff be awarded general and compensatory damages, including prejudgment interest, in an amount according to proof at trial;
- 2. That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount according to proof at trial;
 - 3. That Plaintiff be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit; and
- 4. That Plaintiff be awarded such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court order a trial at the earliest practical date, and upon such hearing:

(1) Issue an order awarding Plaintiff back pay, front pay, compensation damages, prejudgment interest, fringe benefits, and any other appropriate relief necessary to

- make Plaintiff whole and compensate him for the civil rights violations described above;
- (2) Award Plaintiff front pay, fringe benefits, and other compensation, and;
- (3) Award Plaintiff the costs of this action, including reasonable attorney's fees, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF PHILIP R. RUSS

BY: /s/ Philip R. Russ
Philip R. Russ Bar No. 17406000
2700 S. Western, Suite 1200
Amarillo, Texas 79109
Tel. (806) 358-9293 / Fax. (806) 358-9296

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff herewith demands a trial by jury.

EXHIBIT "A"

Case 2:17-cv-00008-J Document 5 Filed 02/07/17 Page 10 of 13 PageID 34

EEOC POINT 1014	B (11/10)				
	No	OTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE	ISSUED OI	N REQUEST)	
	m Ray Pecan St illo, TX 79107		From:	Dallas District Office 207 S. Houston St. 3rd Floor Dallas, TX 75202	
	On behalf of person(s) agg CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §				
EEOC Charg	e No.	EEOC Representative			Telephone No.
		Kelly P. Robinson,			
450-2015-	00688	Investigator			(214) 253-2868
Novice to the	IE PERSON AGGRIEVED:		(See also	the additional information	on enclosed with this form.)
Act (GINA): been issued of your rece	This is your Notice of Rig at your request. Your law	64, the Americans with Disabilitie ht to Sue, issued under Title VII, the vsuit under Title VII, the ADA or GIN r right to sue based on this charge	e ADA or GINA IA must be fi l	A based on the above-no led in a federal or state	umbered charge. It has court WITHIN 90 DAYS
X	More than 180 days hav	ve passed since the filing of this cha	arge.		
	Less than 180 days hav	ve passed since the filing of this cha administrative processing within 180	irge, but I have 0 days from th	e determined that it is ur e filing of this charge.	likely that the EEOC will
X	The EEOC is terminating	ng its processing of this charge.			
	The EEOC will continue	e to process this charge.			
Age Discrin 90 days afte your case:	nination in Employment r you receive notice that v	Act (ADEA): You may sue under the have completed action on the ch	ne ADEA at ar arge. In this r	ny time from 60 days aft egard, the paragraph n	er the charge was filed unti narked below applies to
	The EEOC is closing you go DAYS of your recei	our case. Therefore, your lawsuit un ipt of this Notice. Otherwise, your	nder the ADEA right to sue ba	A must be filed in feder ased on the above-numl	al or state court <u>WITHIN</u> pered charge will be lost.
	The EEOC is continuing you may file suit in fede	g its handling of your ADEA case. It ral or state court under the ADEA a	lowever, if 60 t this time.	days have passed since	e the filing of the charge,
in federal or	state court within 2 years (ave the right to sue under the EPA (fi (3 years for willful violations) of the a than 2 years (3 years) before you	lleged EPA un	derpayment. This mean	EPA suits must be brought s that backpay due for
If you file sui	it, based on this charge, plo	ease send a copy of your court comp	plaint to this of	fice.	
Enclosures	s(e)	Sandra.	Pickers of the Con	lorge	//2//7 (Date Mailed)
_110103U163	.(5)	Sniriey A.	. Richardsoı	Π, (/	/ '7

Shirley A. Richardson, **District Director**

Philip R. Russ, Attorney 2700 S. Western St, Ste 1200

Amarillo, TX 79109

CC:

Farhang & Medcoff

Attn: Timothy M. Medcoff, Attorney 4801 East Broadway, Suite 311

Tucson, AZ 85711

re: ASARCO/GROUPO MEXICO

Enclosure with & acs 2:17-cv-00008-J Document 5 Filed 02/07/17 Page 11 of 13 PageID 35 Form 161-B (1/16)

INFORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT UNDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This information relates to filing suit in Federal or State court <u>under Federal law.</u>

If you also plan to sue claiming violations of State law, please be aware that time limits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge <a href="withingootnote-size-style="motion-size-style-sty

Your lawsuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after talking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Courts often require that a copy of your charge must be attached to the complaint you file in court. If so, you should remove your birth date from the charge. Some courts will not accept your complaint where the charge includes a date of birth. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlawful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office. If you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the clerk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS -- Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment: back pay due for violations that occurred **more than 2 years (3 years)** before you file suit may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 7/1/08 to 12/1/08, you should file suit before 7/1/10 – not 12/1/10 – in order to recover unpaid wages due for July 2008. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sue under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION -- Title VII, the ADA or GINA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisdiction in your case may, in limited circumstances, assist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. District Court in the form and manner it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an attorney). Requests should be made well before the end of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do <u>not</u> relieve you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

ATTORNEY REFERRAL AND EEOC ASSISTANCE -- All Statutes:

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 6 months after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, please make your review request within 6 months of this Notice. (Before filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)

EXHIBIT "B"

FECG Form 5 (1729)					
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge	Presented To:	Agency	(ies) Charge No(s).	
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1074. See enclosed Privacy Act Statement and other information before completing this form.		FEPA		,,	
and the model as a confinering and farm.	X	EEOC	450)-2015-00688	
Texas Workforce Commission	Civil Righ	ts Division	200	and EEOC	
State or local Agency,	if any	3 211.5.011		200 5500	
Rome (indicate IAt., Ms., Mrs.)	Home Phone (Incl. Area Code)			Date of Birth	
Mr. William Ray		(806) 626-57	767	01-03-1959	
Street Address City, State and	ZiP Code			,	
1209 Peach St, Amarillo, TX 79107		•			
Pecar et.					
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization. Employment Agency, Apprenticuship C Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS be	ommittee, or S	tate or Local Governm	eni Agend	y That I Relieve	
ame	ilow.)				
ASARCO/GROUPO MEXICO		No. Employees, Members	1	Phone No. (Include Area Code	
froot Address City, State and	ZIR Code	500 or More	8;	06) 468-4136	
001 State Highway 136, P.O. Box 30200, Amarillo, TX 79					
James, 17 15, Bax docou, Alitanno, 17 15	, 100	n			
time .		K	二し	=IVFD	
		No. Employees, Members	Phone	No. (Include Area Code	
treet Address			DOI 2	7 2015	
recry/agress City, State and	ZIP Codu	_			
		OPPC	:QUAL EM JRTUNTTY	PLOYMENT COMMISSION	
SCRIMINA ON BASED ON (Check appropriate box(os).)	·		LIALLAS.	DISTRICT N TOOK PLACE	
V mor		Earlies		Latesi	
	AT ONAL ORIGIN	05-26-2	014	05-01-2015	
·	IC N ORMATIO	N			
OTI ER Soveity)		X	CONTINUI	NG ACTION	
HE PART CULARS ARE 'If additional paper is needed, attach extre sheet(s,):					
I. PERSONAL HARM: I have been written up, verbal	ly attacked	d, defamed and	victimi	zed by Area	
Supervisor Weldon Read for many years. I have complain no resolution.	ned to Hu	nan Resources	and th	e Union with	
no resolution.					
II. RESPONDENT'S REASON FOR ADVERSE ACTION	N. No rose	on divon			
	4. 140 1603	on given.			
III. DISCRIMINATION STATEMENT: I believe that I am	being dis	criminated aga	inst be	cause of my	
Race-Black, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act	of 1964, a	s amended.		ocuse of my	
and this sharps flag with hosts the STOO					
	TARY – When no	cessary for State and Lo	at Agency I	Requirements	
operate fully with them in the processing of my chargo in accordance with their occidence.					
S	wear or affirm to best of my kno	nat I have road the about the about the property of the proper	ive charge nd belief.	and that it is true to	
sig	NATURE OF CO	MPLAINANT			
\sim $ u $	Jul X	cia. Pri	1.		
	JSCRIBED AND	SWORN TO SEROBE M	YS DAI	= 10-22-205	
The court of the c	nth, day, year	200	- 111	MANIA MANES!	
Date Charging Party Signature	horal)	ada 3 # 1)	KOTARY DI IDI IN KOTARY CALDENY	
	marge	OPFICE BY		YUTARY PUBUC,	